

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/045,783	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2827	

All Participants:

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(2) Randy W. Tung.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd paragraph

Claims discussed:

16 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Notice of allowance forthcoming

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:00AM



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to suggest changes to claims 16 and 18 to avoid a potential 112 2nd paragraph rejection. The attorney agreed to the suggestions made by the examiner and gave the examiner authorization to make these changes by examiner's amendment. Therefore, a notice of allowance is forthcoming..